

Filing Of Criminal Complaint For Settling Civil Dispute Is Abuse Of Process Of Law: SC [Read Judgment]

BY: LIVELAW NEWS NETWORK

9 Aug 2019 9:29 PM



3.8K
SHARES



JUSTICE ARUN MISHRA



JUSTICE ABDUL NAZER



JUSTICE M.R SHAH

3.8K
SHARES

Facebook

Twitter

WhatsApp

LinkedIn

More

The advertisement features the Bodor laser logo with a red arrow pointing up. It shows a large industrial fiber laser cutting machine with a green beam. The text "Fiber Laser Cutting Machine" is prominently displayed, along with "Unbelievable price" and "Engineer one step service". An orange "Inquiry now" button is at the bottom.

The Supreme Court has observed that filing of criminal complaint for settling a dispute of civil nature is abuse of process of law.

The Three judge bench comprising of **Justice Arun Mishra, Justice S. Abdul Nazeer and Justice MR Shah** observed thus while setting aside a High Court order issuing directions in a writ petition filed by the complainant. (Commissioner of Police vs. Devender Anand)

Also Read - SC Refuses To Condone 21 Yrs Delay In Filing SLP By Villagers

king Enhanced Compensation For Land Acquisition [Read Judgment]



3.8K
SHARES

The case of the complainant was that he entered into agreement for sale for a property, which, he later realised, was mortgaged to a bank. It turned out that he himself paid the mortgage money and got the mortgage redeemed and thereafter, he got the sale deed executed in his name. Thereafter, he filed the complaint alleging cheating by the sellers, which got rejected by the Magistrate. Thereafter, he again filed a private complaint under Section 200 Cr.P.C. Again, during the pendency of this complaint, he filed a writ petition before the High Court, which it entertained and issued some directions.

Also Read - Treating Homebuyers As Financial Creditors Not Arbitrary : SC Upholds 2018 IBC Amendment [Read Judgment]

Court Cases - Supreme Court Judgments

Supreme Court Cases - Supreme Court Order - Supreme Court Ruling. Buy Now!
supremecourtcases.com

OPEN



3.8K
SHARES

Referring to these facts, the bench observed that the criminal proceedings initiated by the complainant is nothing but an abuse of the process of law for settling a civil dispute. The bench said:

'Even considering the nature of allegations in the complaint, we are of the firm opinion that no case is made out for taking cognizance of the offence under Section 420/34 IPC. The case involves a civil dispute and for settling a civil dispute, the criminal complaint has been filed, which is nothing but an abuse of the process of law.'



The bench then quashed all the criminal proceedings in this matter. The initiation of the criminal proceedings by the original complainant is nothing but an abuse of the process of law, we not only quash and set aside the impugned judgment and order, but also quash the criminal proceedings pending before the learned Magistrate in respect of the transaction in question, the bench added.



3.8K
SHARES

[Click here to Read/Download Judgment](#)



NON-REPORTABLE

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 834 of 2017

The Commissioner of Police & Ors. .. Appellants

Versus

Devender Anand & Ors. .. Respondents

JUDGMENT3.8K
SHARES**M. R. Shah, J.**

1. Feeling aggrieved and dissatisfied with the impugned judgment and order dated 13.01.2017 passed by the High Court of Delhi in Writ Petition (Crl.) No. 299 of 2016, the original respondents – appellants – Commissioner of Police and Others have preferred the present appeal.

2. That respondent – complainant entered

Page 1 / 10

into an agreement to settle a dispute of civil nature situated at WZ-

Topics : Supreme Court | Justice Arun Mishra | Justice MR Shah |
Justice S Abdul Nazeer

**UNBIASED & COMMITTED
TO THE CORE PRINCIPLES
OF JOURNALISM.**

TURN TO US FOR SUPPORT.



INDEPENDENT AND PUBLIC-SPIRITED MEDIA FOUNDATION
+91-80-2220-7878 | contact@ipsmf.org | ipsmf.org

f

WhatsApp

Twitter

in

Email

+

3.8K SHARES

